

So, current logic studies, including relevance logic or any other substructural/paraconsistent logics, are inadequate.

The following analyses could provide the foundation for a better scientific logic.

2) Humans' sciences are NOT consistent and complete. Even if some physicists think the problems be trivial in physics, these problems would be amplified enormously in life sciences, and especially in intelligence sciences.

4) These different reference systems need very different logic frameworks. There are paradigm shifts across these different reference systems related to logic frameworks. So, people should be specific about what exactly these paradigm shifts are in various situations.

[illegible]